

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 10/06/2004

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|---------------------------|---------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/607,176 | 06/25/2003 | Keith D. Robinson | 7080-9-CIP | 7080-9-CIP 5193 | |
| 22442 75 | 90 10/06/2004 | | EXAMINER | | |
| SHERIDAN ROSS PC | | | JOYCE, HAROLD | | |
| 1560 BROADV SUITE 1200 | VAY | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| DENVER, CO | 80202 | | 3749 | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | | 11/1/1 |
|--|--|---|---------------------|
| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | 7000 |
| | 10/607,176 | ROBINSON, KEIT | н D. |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Harold Joyce | 3749 | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply | pears on the cover sheet v | vith the correspondence ad | dress |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 36(a). In no event, however, may a y within the statutory minimum of th will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO , cause the application to become A | reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timel NTHS from the mailing date of this co NBANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | y. ommunication. |
| Status | | • | |
| 1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 S | eptember 2004. | | |
| <u> </u> | action is non-final. | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowa | nce except for formal ma | tters, prosecution as to the | merits is |
| closed in accordance with the practice under E | Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C. | D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | |
| 4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-38 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 35-38 is/are withdray 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-34 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or | vn from consideration. | | |
| Application Papers | | | |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10)☒ The drawing(s) filed on <u>08 September 2003</u> is/s Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex | are: a) \boxtimes accepted or b) drawing(s) be held in abeyation is required if the drawin | ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 Cf | FR 1.121(d). |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | - | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list | s have been received. s have been received in rity documents have bee u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | Application No n received in this National | Stage |
| Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 8222003. | Paper No | Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO | D-152) |

Application/Control Number: 10/607,176 Page 2

Art Unit: 3749

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Claims 35-38 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR
1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in the telephone reply with Brent P. Johnson on September 30, 2004.

Double Patenting

2. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

3. Claims 1-34 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-21 of U.S. Patent No. 6,595,848. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the claims differ in the omission of "enclosure", it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to omit the enclosure from claims 1-34, since it has been held that omission of an element and its function in a combination where the remaining elements perform the

Application/Control Number: 10/607,176

Art Unit: 3749

same functions as before involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Karlson*, 136 USPQ 184.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Harold Joyce whose telephone number is (703) 308-0274. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ira Lazarus can be reached on (703) 308-1935. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Harbit Joyce Primary Examiner Art Unit 3749